arXiv:1304.5739v1 [math.AP] 21 Apr 2013

ON THE CHOQUET-BRUHAT–YORK–FRIEDRICH FORMULATION OF THE EINSTEIN-EULER EQUATIONS

MARCELO M. DISCONZI AND VAMSI P. PINGALI

Abstract. Short-time existence for the Einstein-Euler and the vacuum Einstein equations is proven using a Friedrich inspired formulation due to Choquet-Bruhat and York, where the system is cast into a symmetric hyperbolic form and the Riemann tensor is treated as one of the fundamental unknowns of the problem. The reduced system of Choquet-Bruhat and York, along with the preservation of the gauge, is shown to imply the full Einstein equations.

1. Introduction

In the vast amount of literature that exists on the Cauchy problem of General relativity (GR)[1], the formulation in terms of a first order symmetric hyperbolic system (FOSH) has recently attracted significant attention (see e.g. [YB, Fri2, Fri1, FN, FR] and references therein.). Here, we focus on the Choquet-Bruhat and York [YB] formulation of the Einstein-Euler system in terms of the Lagrangian[2] description of the fluid flow, which itself was adapted from an earlier formulation by Friedrich [Fri2]. In [YB] the authors wrote a system of equations in terms of the Riemann tensor (as opposed to the Weyl tensor used in [Fri2]), and chose a gauge that reduced this system to a FOSH. It turned out that this system had physical characteristics (in contrast with Friedrich's one), i.e. the assumption that the speed of sound in the fluid is less than that of light was crucial to prove the hyperbolicity of the equations. This is important, for example, because it gives a natural breakdown criterion for the problem of long-time existence. However, the task of proving that the gauge is preserved and the original Einstein-Euler system is satisfied was not carried out in [YB]. In this article, we complete the proof of short-time existence for the Einstein-Euler system à la [YB]. In what follows, we shall restrict ourselves to barotropic fluids. The more general situation will be addressed elsewhere.

2. Summary of results

In the study of the Cauchy problem in GR, one is usually given a Riemannian smooth 3-fold (Σ, h_0), a symmetric 2-tensor K, and other initial data corresponding to the matter fields. This initial data is required to satisfy certain constraint equations (essentially the Einstein equations at $t = 0$ combined with the Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi equations). This prescription of data is usually facilitated by means of the conformal method of solving the constraints. The aim then is to find an Einsteinian development, i.e. a Lorentzian 4-fold $(M, g) = (\Sigma \times [0, T], g)$ containing matter fields satisfying Einstein's equations with (Σ, h_0) embedded isometrically with K as its second fundamental form and obeying the initial conditions on the matter fields.

---

[1] A complete or extensive account of all references is beyond the scope of this paper, whose length we tried to keep short. We refer the interested reader to the monographs [B, KN] and the survey papers [CGP, FR]. A long, although far from complete, review of the literature of the Cauchy problem for the Einstein-Euler system specifically, is given in [D].

[2] Intuitively, the picture is like this. We can think of two ways to study the flow of a river: one could float downstream on a boat, or one could sit on the bank and observe the flow. The former (Lagrangian description) corresponds to tracking the position of every particle and the latter (Eulerian description) to observing the velocity vector field. Both descriptions are useful in the study of relativistic and non-relativistic fluids.

Just as in [D], we assume that we are given the aforementioned type of initial data. This data is then converted into the type we need for solving the FOSH. In what follows, for the fluid case, $p \geq 0$ indicates pressure, $\mu(p) > 0$ is the density as a function of p, v is the initial 3-velocity of the fluid on Σ, and u is the 4-velocity field on M. We prove our results in the uniformly local Sobolev spaces H^s_{ul} of Kato [B]. In what follows, Σ, h_0, and p denote the quantities just described, and repeated indices are summed over. We also assume the reader is familiar with the terminology and the Cauchy problem in GR and the initial conditions for the Einstein-Euler system. We comment further on the initial conditions in section 3.

Our first result is on the vacuum Einstein equations, i.e. $\mathrm{Ricc} = 0$:

Theorem 2.1. *Given* (Σ, h_0, K) *an initial data set, and a relatively compact set* U *in* Σ*, with* h_0 *in* $H^{s+1}_{ul}(\Sigma)$ *and* K_0 *in* $H^s_{ul}(\Sigma)$ *with* $s > \frac{3}{2} + 2$ *satisfying the constraints, there exists an Einsteinian development* $M = (U \times [0,T], g)$ *satisfying* $\mathrm{Ricc}(g) = 0$ *weakly. The metric* g *thus obtained is in* $C^0([0,T], H^{s+1}(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^2([0,T], H^{s-1}(U))$.

Note that the assumption of relative compactness guarantees the uniformity of T. To remove such an assumption, either a uniform positivity condition is required on the initial metric or the manifold Σ has to be compact. The proof involves proving existence locally in coordinates and using the finite propagation speed to conclude the global result. Details are as in [D].

For perfect fluids, the energy-momentum tensor is $\mathbf{T} = (\mu + p)\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + p\mathbf{g}$. The Einstein-Euler system for a perfect fluid is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ricc}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} \\
&(\mu + p) u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha u^\beta + (u^\alpha u^\beta + g^{\alpha\beta}) \partial_\alpha p = 0 \\
&(\mu + p) \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha + u^\alpha \partial_\alpha \mu = 0 \\
&u^\alpha u_\alpha = -1
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Notice that we have chosen units such that $\frac{8\pi G}{c^2} = 1$. Also note that the first equation maybe written as $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\mu\nu} = \rho_{\mu\nu}$ where $\rho_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{T})}{2} g_{\mu\nu}$.

The Einstein-Euler system also exists for a short period of time:

Theorem 2.2. *Given a smooth function* $\mu : \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$ *with* $\mu' \geq 1$, (Σ, h_0, K, p_0, v) *an initial data set for the Einstein-Euler system, and a relatively compact set* U *in* Σ*, with* h_0 *in* $H^{s+1}_{ul}(\Sigma)$, K_0 *in* $H^s_{ul}(\Sigma)$, p_0 *in* $H^s_{ul}(\Sigma)$*, and* v *in* $H^s_{ul}(\Sigma)$ *with* $s > \frac{3}{2} + 2$ *satisfying the constraints, there exists an Einsteinian development* $M = (U \times [0,T], g)$ *satisfying the Einstein-Euler system weakly. The metric* g *thus obtained is in* $C^0([0,T], H^{s+1}(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^2([0,T], H^{s-1}(U))$*, the four-velocity* $u \in C^0([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1}(U))$*, and the pressure* $p \in C^0([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1}(U))$*. They obey the initial conditions, hence in particular the orthogonal projection of* u *onto* $T\Sigma$ *is* v.

Remark 2.3. The condition $\mu' \geq 1$ guarantees that the speed of sound is at most that of light. The Einstein-Euler system will be a FOSH only as long as this condition holds. This is one advantage of having only physical characteristics, as mentioned in the introduction.

As is well known, these kinds of equations are diffeomorphism invariant and hence an appropriate gauge has to be chosen in order to solve them. Traditionally, harmonic coordinates were employed to convert the Einstein equations into a second order hyperbolic system. However, in the Lagrangian framework, Choquet-Bruhat and York chose the so-called Cattaneo-Ferrarese (CF) gauge consisting of Lagrangian observers following the fluid flow. In other words, a choice of a local orthonormal frame e_α such that $e_0 = \partial_0 = u = \partial_{x^0}$ and the $e_i = \partial_i = A_i^j\,(\partial_{x^j} - b_j\partial_{x^0})$ are Fermi propagated i.e. $f_{ij} = \Gamma^j_{0i} = 0$. Here, local coordinates x^α have been chosen. Note that ∂_α should not be confused with a coordinate basis (which are denoted by ∂_{x^α}). In this gauge, the Einstein equations were re-written to form a reduced FOSH.

Following [YB], all the symbols appearing henceforth are to be treated as "abstract" — for example, $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is not known, a priori, to be the Riemann tensor of a metric; except, however, for those quantities determined at $t = 0$, in which case they do have their usual meaning. Greek letters refer to spacetime coordinates/frames whereas latin ones to spatial coordinates/frames. For the sake of brevity, we use the symbol ∇ or a semicolon to mean the "covariant derivative" with the "correct" Christoffel symbols i.e. $\Gamma^a_{0b} = 0, \Gamma^a_{bc} = \omega^a_{bc}, \Gamma^0_{0a} = Y_a = \Gamma^a_{00}, \Gamma^0_{ab} = X_{ab} = \Gamma^b_{a0}$. We denote spatial covariant derivatives and curvatures with a $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\widetilde{R}$ respectively. A bracket enclosing two letters $A_{[a,b]}$ indicates antisymmetrisation whereas one enclosing three letters separated by commas ($A_{[a,b,c]}$) indicates cyclic summation $A_{abc} + A_{bca} + A_{cab}$.

In the case of vacuum, there are no fluid flow lines. Hence we may impose the additional gauge choice $Y_i = 0$. The reduced system of equations as written in [YB] is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_0 a^i_j = -a^i_j X_{ki} \\
&\partial_0 b_i = 0 \\
&R_{0hij} = \partial_0 \omega^i_{hj} + X_{hk}\omega^i_{kj} \\
&R_{h0i0} = -\partial_0 X_{hi} - X_{hj}X_{ji} \\
&\nabla_0 R_{hk\lambda\mu} = -\nabla_k R_{0h\lambda\mu} + \nabla_h R_{0k\lambda\mu} \\
&\nabla_0 R_{0h\lambda\mu} = \nabla_l R_{lh\lambda\mu}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $a = A^{-1}$.

In the perfect fluid case, let $F = \int \frac{dp}{\mu(p)+p}$, $\rho_{00} = \frac{1}{2}(3p + \mu)$,$\rho_{i0} = 0$, and $\rho_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\frac{1}{2}(\mu - p)$. The reduced system is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_0 a^i_j = -a^i_j X_{ki} \\
&\partial_0 b_i = -a^h_i Y_h \\
&\partial_0 \omega^i_{hj} + X_{hk}\omega^i_{kj} + Y_i X_{hj} - Y_j X_{hi} = R_{0hij} \\
&\partial_0 X_{hi} + X_{hj}X_{ji} - Y_h Y_i - \widetilde{\nabla}_i Y_h - \frac{X_{ll}}{\mu'}(X_{hi} - X_{ih}) = -R_{h0i0} \\
&\mu'\partial_0 Y_h - \widetilde{\nabla}_l X_{hl} - Y_l(X_{li} - X_{il}) + \mu'(Y_h\partial_0 F - X_{hl}\partial_l F) + \partial_h\mu'\partial_0 F = 0 \\
&\nabla_0 R_{hk\lambda\mu} = -\nabla_k R_{0h\lambda\mu} + \nabla_h R_{0k\lambda\mu} \\
&\nabla_0 R_{0h\lambda\mu} = \nabla_l R_{lh\lambda\mu} + \nabla_j \rho_{0i} - \nabla_0 \rho_{ji} \\
&\partial_0 \mu = -(\mu + p)X_{ll}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In both vacuum and fluid cases, the constraints are obtained by restricting the Einstein equations to $t = 0$. This enables us to solve for temporal derivatives of all the quantities at $t = 0$. Substituting these expressions in the so-called quasi-constraints[3] which we write below, gives us the actual constraints. The initial connection is the Levi-Civita one. The other quasi-constraints are

---

[3] This procedure is necessary because ∂_i contains ∂_{x^0}.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{[h,}R_{i,j]\lambda\mu} = 0 \\
&\nabla_h R_{h0\lambda\mu} = \nabla_\lambda \rho_{\mu 0} - \nabla_\mu \rho_{\lambda 0} \\
&R_{hkij} = \tilde{R}_{hkij} + X_{ki}X_{jh} - X_{jk}X_{ih} \\
&-R_{kh0j} = \widetilde{\nabla}_{[k,}X_{h]j} - Y_j X_{[k,h]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

3. Initial data

The initial data required for the well-posedness of the reduced system is defined on $\Sigma \times \{0\}$:

- A field of coframes a^i_j and of covectors b_i creating a metric h_0 on Σ via $h_0^{jh} = a^j_l a^{lh} - b^j b^h$ which is assumed to be positive definite. The initial metric on the manifold M is $g(t=0) = -(\theta^0)^2 + \sum(\theta^i)^2$ where $\theta^i = a^i_j dx^j$ and $\theta^0 = dx^0 + b_i dx^i$.
- Fields ω^k_{ij}, X_{ij}, and Y_i (which is assumed to be zero in the vacuum case). These are supposed to define the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of g initially (with $f_{ij} = 0$).
- Tensor components R_{ijkl}, R_{0ijl}, and R_{0i0j} that define the Riemann curvature tensor initially.
- In the case of the perfect fluid, we also need $\mu(p) > 0$ obeying $\mu' \geq 1$, and $p \geq 0$.

In addition, the Einstein equations are imposed on this initial data at $t = 0$ in order to derive the relation between the usual Eulerian initial data of the $3+1$ decomposition — which is given in theorems 2.2 and 2.1 — and the initial data needed for the FOSH systems, as we now explain. A detailed account of the correspondence between initial data sets for the Einstein-Euler system and those of reduced equation in Lagrangian coordinates can be obtained by an argument similar to that of [D].

Given a Riemannian 3-fold (Σ, h_0), choose local coordinates $\tilde{x}^i$ on it. Embed it into $M = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ as $\Sigma \times \{0\}$. Let $\tilde{e}_i$ be an orthonormal frame on Σ and let $\tilde{\theta}^i$ be the dual coframe. Then $h_0 = \sum \tilde{\theta}^i \otimes \tilde{\theta}^i$. In the vacuum case, we may simply define the initial Lorentz metric on $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ as $g = -(dx^0)^2 + h_0$. This corresponds to b_i being zero initially.

In the case of a perfect fluid, define a metric $g = h_0 + v_i dx^0 \tilde{\theta}^i - (dx^0)^2$ on TM restricted to $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ with v_i being the components of the dual (with respect to h_0) of v. This is a Lorentzian metric with $e_0 = \partial_{x^0}$ being a unit timelike vector projecting to v and restricting to h_0 on $\Sigma \times \{0\}$. Complete e_0 to an orthonormal basis e_α. This gives us a^i_j and b_i lying in $H^s(\Sigma)$. Calculations similar to the ones in [D] maybe used to define ω, X, etc on $\Sigma \times \{0\}$.

The above reasoning combined with the fact that both (reduced) systems (2.3) and (2.2) are quasilinear FOSH having initial data in (at least) H^{s-1} implies that both systems have solutions in $C^0([0,T_1], H^{s-1}(U)) \cap C^1([0,T_1], H^{s-2}(U))$. The mismatch between the regularity of the initial data and that of the solution is then corrected by a bootstrap argument as in [D] following the results of [FM].

Thus we have a solution to both systems with $a^i_j \in C^0([0,T_1], H^{s+1}(U)) \cap C^1([0,T_1], H^s(U)) \cap C^2([0,T_1], H^{s-1}(U))$, b_i, ω^k_{ij}, X_{ij}, Y_i, p in $C^0([0,T_1], H^s(U)) \cap C^1([0,T_1], H^{s-1}(U))$, and $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ in $C^0([0,T_1], H^{s-1}(U)) \cap C^1([0,T_1], H^{s-2}(U))$.

4. Proofs

We prove that the constraints and the gauge are preserved. This implies that if the Einstein equations are satisfied initially, then they are satisfied in the future. We accomplish these steps by proving that the relevant quantities satisfy FOSH systems with zero as their unique solution. Note that by definition, $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}$ and $\partial_i = A^l_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} - b_l\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})$. We also note that if a linear symmetry of the $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is satisfied initially, then its spatial derivatives are zero. Since the temporal derivatives are related to the spatial ones by the evolution equations (which are imposed on the variables at $t = 0$), we see that ∂_i applied to such a symmetry also yields zero.

4.1. **Vacuum.** The regularity of the quantities that follow shall be addressed later. For now, we assume that everything is smooth. Firstly, we see as to why the vacuum Einstein equations are implied by the preservation of the constraints and the gauge:

Lemma 4.1. *If the gauge and the constraints are preserved, then* $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\beta}$ *is zero in the future, if so initially.*

Proof. Notice that $d\mathrm{scal} = 2\mathrm{div}\mathrm{Ricc}$. The given system implies that (assuming the constraints and the gauge) $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} = \mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\gamma;\beta}$. Contracting α and β, we see that $d\mathrm{scal} = 0$ i.e. $\mathrm{scal} = 0$ because it is so, initially. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ricc}_{0i;0} &= \mathrm{Ricc}_{00;i} \\ \mathrm{Ricc}_{00;0} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{Ricc}_{0i;i} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The leading matrix (M_0) for the equations above is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -B_1 & -B_2 & -B_3 \\ -B_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -B_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -B_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B_i = -A^i_j b_j$. It is positive definite (see lemma 11 in [YB]).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ricc}_{ij;0} &= \mathrm{Ricc}_{i0;j} \\ \mathrm{Ricc}_{i0;0} &= \mathrm{Ricc}_{ij;j} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Equations (4.1) and (4.2) form a FOSH system (the leading matrix of equation (4.2) also has positive eigenvalues by a similar argument as for equation (4.1)). Hence $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Note that we treated $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mathrm{Ricc}_{\beta\alpha}$ as distinct variables. □

Now, we prove that the constraints and the gauge are preserved i.e., among other things ∂_α forms an orthonormal frame for a metric whose Levi-Civita connection's components are $\Gamma^0_{ab} = X_{ab}$, $\Gamma^\beta_{0\alpha} = 0$, and $\Gamma^k_{ij} = \omega^k_{ij}$, and whose Riemann curvature tensor is $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$. For further use, we prove some symmetries of $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$:

Lemma 4.2. *The following relations are satisfied for some time:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= -R_{\alpha\beta\nu\mu} \\ R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= -R_{\beta\alpha\mu\nu} \\ \omega^p_{ij} &= -\omega^j_{ip} \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(R_{hk0j} + R_{kh0j}) &= -\nabla_k R_{0h0j} + \nabla_h R_{0k0j} + \nabla_k R_{0h0j} - \nabla_h R_{0k0j} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_{hk0j} = -R_{kh0j}$ (since it is so, initially). Similarly, $R_{ijkl} = -R_{jikl}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0(R_{ijkl} + R_{ijlk}) &= -\nabla_j(R_{0ikl} + R_{0ilk}) + \nabla_i(R_{0jkl} + R_{0jlk}) \\
\partial_0(R_{0ikl} + R_{0ilk}) &= \nabla_p(R_{pikl} + R_{pilk})
\end{aligned}$$

The system above is FOSH (having zero as its unique solution). Indeed, the leading matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & B_3 & 0 & -B_1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\
B_2 & B_3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-B_1 & 0 & B_3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -B_1 & -B_2 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

Its eigenvalues are $1, 1 \pm \sqrt{B_1^2 + B_2^2 + B_3^2}$ with multiplicity 2. They are positive for some time (by the assumptions on a and b). This means that $R_{ijkl} = -R_{ijlk}$ and $R_{0ikl} = -R_{0ilk}$. Using these symmetries of $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, we see that $\partial_0(\omega_{ij}^k + \omega_{ik}^j) = X_i^l(\omega_{lj}^i + \omega_{li}^j)$. Hence $\omega_{ij}^k = -\omega_{ik}^j$. $\square$

By explicit calculation, we see that $\partial_0\partial_i - \partial_i\partial_0 = -X_i^a\partial_a$ and that

$$\partial_{[i,}\partial_{j]} = (2f_{ij} + X_{[i,j]})\partial_0 + c_{ij}^p\partial_p$$

where f and c are two new symbols that satisfy $f_{ij} = 0$ and $c_{ij}^p = \omega_{[i,j]}^p$ when $t = 0$. The evolution equations for f_{ij} and $v_{ij}^p = c_{ij}^p - \omega_{[i,j]}^p$ are obtained by differentiating the above equation and using the main evolution system.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0(v_{ij}^p)\partial_p =& \partial_0\partial_{[i,}\partial_{j]} - c_{ij}^p\partial_0\partial_p - 2\partial_0(f_{ij})\partial_0 - \partial_0(X_{[i,j]})\partial_0 - (2f_{ij} + X_{[i,j]})\partial_0^2 \\
&+ R_{0[i,j]p}\partial_p + \omega_{l[j}^p X_{i]}^l\partial_p \\
=& \partial_{[i,}\partial_0\partial_{j]} - X_{[i,}^a\partial_a\partial_{j]} - c_{ij}^p(\partial_p\partial_0 - X_p^a\partial_a) - 2\partial_0(f_{ij})\partial_0 - (2f_{ij} + X_{[i,j]})\partial_0^2 \\
&- \partial_0(X_{[i,j]})\partial_0 + R_{0[i,j]p}\partial_p + \omega_{l[j}^p X_{i]}^l\partial_p \\
=& \partial_{[i,}\partial_{j]}\partial_0 - \partial_{[i,}(X_{j]}^b\partial_b) - X_{[i,}^a(\partial_{j]}\partial_a + c_{aj}^p\partial_p + (2f_{aj} + X_{[a,j]})\partial_0) \\
&- c_{ij}^p(\partial_p\partial_0 - X_p^a\partial_a) - 2\partial_0(f_{ij})\partial_0 - (2f_{ij} + X_{[i,j]})\partial_0^2 - \partial_0(X_{[i,j]})\partial_0 \\
&+ R_{0[i,j]p}\partial_p + \omega_{l[j}^p X_{i]}^l\partial_p \\
=& (-\partial_{[i,}(X_{j]}^p) - X_{[i}^a c_{a,j]}^p + c_{ij}^a X_a^p + R_{0[i,j]p} + \omega_{l[j}^p X_{i]}^l)\partial_p \\
&+ (-X_{[i,}^a(2f_{aj} + X_{[a,j]}) - 2\partial_0(f_{ij}) - R_{0[i,j]0} + X_{[i}^l X_{l,j]})\partial_0
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0(v_{ij}^p) &= -\partial_{[i,}(X_{j]}^p) - X_{[i}^a c_{a,j]}^p + c_{ij}^a X_a^p + R_{0[i,j]p} + \omega_{l[j}^p X_{i]}^l \\
\partial_0(2f_{ij}) &= -X_{[i,}^a(2f_{aj} + X_{[a,j]}) - R_{0[i,j]0} + X_{[i}^l X_{l,j]}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The system (4.3) is a FOSH system for v and f and hence has a unique solution. If the first Bianchi identity and the defining equation of the Riemann tensor are satisfied, then $f = v = 0$ is a solution, and hence as promised, X and ω form the Levi-Civita connection of the metric defined by ∂_α.

Next, we write evolution equations for the first Bianchi identity. In what follows $R_{[\alpha,\beta,\mu]\nu} =$

$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + R_{\beta\mu\alpha\nu} + R_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 R_{[h,c,d]\alpha} &= -\nabla_h R_{[c,0,d]\alpha} - \nabla_d R_{[h,0,c]\alpha} - \nabla_c R_{[0,h,d]\alpha} + \nabla_{[h,} R_{d,c]0\alpha} \\ \partial_0 R_{[0,h,c]\alpha} &= \nabla_l R_{[l,h,c]\alpha} - \nabla_l R_{hcl\alpha} + \nabla_0 R_{hco\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The above system is FOSH for the variables $R_{[\alpha,\beta,\mu]\nu}$. Indeed, it is symmetric and the eigenvalues of the leading matrix are 1 and $1 \pm \sqrt{B_1^2 + B_2^2 + B_3^2}$ (which are positive). We will write evolution equations for the other terms in the system (4.4). This will prove that the unique solution to the above system is 0 (since it is 0 initially).

Now, we write the evolution equations for $\nabla_b R_{hcb\alpha} - \nabla_0 R_{hc0\alpha}$ and prove that zero is their only solution. To accomplish this, we ought to prove that the lower order terms in these equations vanish assuming that all the identities (including the Bianchi identities, the Einstein equations, $\nabla_b R_{hcb\alpha} - \nabla_0 R_{hc0\alpha} = 0$, $f_{ij} = 0$, $c_{ij}^p = \omega_{ij}^p - \omega_{ji}^p$, etc) hold to order zero.

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_0(\nabla_b R_{hcb0}) = \\ &\nabla_0(\partial_b R_{hcb0} - \Gamma^\alpha_{bh} R_{\alpha cb0} - \Gamma^\alpha_{bc} R_{h\alpha b0} - \Gamma^\alpha_{bb} R_{hc\alpha 0} - \Gamma^\alpha_{b0} R_{hcb\alpha}) \\ =\,& [\partial_0, \partial_b] R_{hcb0} - \partial_b \nabla_c R_{0hb0} + \partial_b \nabla_h R_{0cb0} - X_{bh} \nabla_l R_{lcb0} \\ &+ \omega^a_{bh} \nabla_c R_{0ab0} - \omega^a_{bh} \nabla_a R_{0cb0} - X_{bc} \nabla_l R_{hlb0} + \omega^a_{bc} \nabla_a R_{0hb0} \\ &- \omega^a_{bc} \nabla_h R_{0ab0} + \omega^a_{bb} \nabla_c R_{0ha0} - \omega^a_{bb} \nabla_h R_{0ca0} + X_{ba} \nabla_c R_{0hba} \\ &- X_{ba} \nabla_h R_{0cba} + (R_{b0h0} + X_{bk} X_{kh}) R_{0cb0} - (R_{0bah} - X_{bk} \omega^a_{kh}) R_{acb0} \\ &+ (R_{b0c0} + X_{bk} X_{kc}) R_{h0b0} (R_{0bac} - X_{bk} \omega^a_{kc}) R_{hab0} \\ &- (R_{0bab} - X_{bk} \omega^a_{kb}) R_{hca0} + (R_{b0a0} + X_{bk} X_{ka}) R_{hcba} \\ =\,& - \partial_c \nabla_b R_{0hb0} + \partial_h \nabla_b R_{0cb0} - X_{ba} \nabla_a R_{hcb0} - X_{bh} \nabla_l R_{lcb0} \\ &+ \omega^a_{bh} \nabla_c R_{0ab0} - \omega^a_{bh} \nabla_a R_{0cb0} - X_{bc} \nabla_l R_{hlb0} + \omega^a_{bc} \nabla_a R_{0hb0} \\ &- \omega^a_{bc} \nabla_h R_{0ab0} + \omega^a_{bb} \nabla_c R_{0ha0} - \omega^a_{bb} \nabla_h R_{0ca0} + X_{ba} \nabla_c R_{0hba} \\ &- X_{ba} \nabla_h R_{0cba} - \partial_b \nabla_c R_{0hb0} + \partial_b \nabla_h R_{0cb0} + \partial_c \nabla_b R_{0hb0} - \partial_h \nabla_b R_{0cb0} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

At this point we note that

$$\begin{gathered} \partial_c \nabla_b R_{0hb0} - \partial_b \nabla_c R_{0hb0} = v^a_{cb} \partial_a R_{0hb0} + 2 f_{cb} \nabla_l R_{lhb0} + (X_{cb} - X_{bc}) \nabla_l R_{lhb0} \\ +(\omega^a_{cb} - \omega^a_{bc}) \partial_a R_{0hb0} - \partial_c (X_{ba} R_{ahb0} + \omega^a_{bh} R_{0ab0} + \omega^a_{bb} R_{0ha0} + X_{ba} R_{0hba}) \\ +\partial_b (X_{ca} R_{ahb0} + \omega^a_{ch} R_{0ab0} + \omega^a_{cb} R_{0ha0} + X_{ca} R_{0hba}) \end{gathered}$$

Noticing that $\partial_b \Gamma^\alpha_{ck} - \partial_c \Gamma^\alpha_{bk} = R^\alpha_{bck} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho k}(\Gamma^\rho_{bc} - \Gamma^\rho_{cb}) - \Gamma^\alpha_{b\rho} \Gamma^\rho_{ck} + \Gamma^\alpha_{c\rho} \Gamma^\rho_{bk}$ up to to the zeroeth order by assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_c \nabla_b R_{0hb0} - \partial_b \nabla_c R_{0hb0} = \\ &v^a_{cb} \partial_a R_{0hb0} + 2 f_{cb} \nabla_l R_{lhb0} + (X_{cb} - X_{bc}) \nabla_l R_{lhb0} \\ &+ (\omega^a_{cb} - \omega^a_{bc}) \nabla_a R_{0hb0} - X_{ba} \partial_c R_{ahb0} - \omega^a_{bh} \partial_c R_{0ab0} \\ &- \omega^a_{bb} \partial_c R_{0ha0} - X_{ba} \partial_c R_{0hba} + X_{ca} \partial_b R_{ahb0} \\ &+ \omega^a_{ch} \partial_b R_{0ab0} + \omega^a_{cb} \partial_b R_{0ha0} + X_{ca} \partial_b R_{0hba} \\ &+ (R_{ahb0} + R_{0hba})(-R_{0bca} - X_{bp} \omega^p_{ca} + X_{cp} \omega^p_{ba}) \\ &+ R_{0ab0}(R_{abch} - \omega^a_{bp} \omega^p_{ch} + \omega^a_{cp} \omega^p_{bh} - X_{ba} X_{ch} + X_{ca} X_{bh}) \\ &+ R_{0ha0}(R_{abcb} - \omega^a_{bp} \omega^p_{cb} - \omega^a_{cp} \omega^p_{bb} - X_{ba} X_{cb} - X_{ca} X_{bb}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Inserting (4.6) and another equation (the same one as (4.6) with h and c interchanged and the sign flipped) into equation (4.5) we see that the zeroeth and the first order terms cancel assuming all the identities hold to order zero.

The other evolution equations are similar. We write only the highest order terms here. The lower order ones (indicated by L_i) vanish if we assume (as before) that the identities hold to order zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_0(\nabla_b R_{0h0b}) &= -\,\partial_l \nabla_b R_{lhb0} + L_1\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_b R_{hcbk} - \nabla_0 R_{hc0k}) &= \partial_c(\nabla_l R_{lh0k} + \nabla_l R_{h0lk})\\
&\quad -\partial_h(\nabla_l R_{lc0k} - \nabla_h \nabla_l R_{c0lk}) + L_2\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_l R_{lh0k} + \nabla_l R_{h0lk}) &= \partial_h(\nabla_{[i,} R_{0cj,k]}) - \partial_c(\nabla_{[i,} R_{0hj,k]}) + L_3\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_{[i,} R_{0hj,k]}) &= \partial_l(\nabla_{[i,} R_{lhj,k]}) + L_4\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_{[0,} R_{hck,l]}) &= -\,\partial_c(\nabla_{[0,} R_{0hk,l]}) + \partial_h(\nabla_{[0,} R_{0ck,l]}) + L_5\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_{[0,} R_{0hk,l]}) &= -\,\nabla_m(\nabla_{[0,} R_{hmk,l]}) + L_6
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The system (4.7) (along with equation (4.5)) is easily verified to be FOSH with zero as the unique solution if zero initially.

We note that $\nabla_h R_{h0\lambda\mu}$ and $\nabla_{[i,} R_{j,k]\lambda\mu}$ evolve according to

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_0(\nabla_h R_{h0\lambda\mu}) &= L_7\\
\nabla_0(\nabla_{[i,} R_{j,k]\lambda\mu}) &= L_8
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Finally, we calculate the evolution of $B_{khj} = R_{kh0j} + \partial_k X_{hj} - \partial_h X_{kj} - X_{pj}(\omega^p_{kh} - \omega^p_{hk}) + X_{kp}\omega^p_{hj} - X_{hp}\omega^p_{kj}$ and $W_{hkj} = R_{hkij} - \tilde{R}_{hkij} - X_{ki}X_{jh} + X_{jk}X_{hi}$ (i.e. the definitions of the components of the Riemann tensor)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0 B_{khj} &= -X_{aj}B_{kha} + X^p_h B_{pkj} - X^p_k B_{phj} + X_{pj}R_{[0,k,h]p}\\
\partial_0 W_{hkj} &= -\omega^i_{lj} R_{[0,h,k]l} + \omega^i_{lj} B_{hkl} + X_{hl}W_{klij} - X_{kl}W_{hlij}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The system (4.9) is FOSH having zero as its solution. If B and W are zero then $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the Riemann tensor of the metric.

Proof of theorem 2.1: Even with the low regularity mentioned in the theorem, we are allowed to manipulate expressions assuming that are smooth. This is because, under the regularity assumptions, if a FOSH is satisfied weakly then it is so strongly (almost everywhere) [FM], [M]. Equations (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) form a FOSH. Using the uniqueness theory for the same, we conclude that zero is the unique solution (zero in H^{s-2} is the same as zero throughout because of Sobolev embedding) if the variables are zero initially. A calculation shows that they they are zero initially. Such a calculation is quite long and will not be presented here, but it is done in essentially the same fashion as in [D]. This also holds for the system in lemma 4.1. This proves that we have a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations satisfying all the conditions required by theorem 2.1. □

4.2. **Perfect fluids.** Just as before, we write equations for the preservation of the gauge. Indeed, we show that the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the orthonormal frame defined by ∂_α has components $\Gamma^k_{ij} = \omega^k_{ij}$, $\Gamma^j_{0i} = 0$, $\Gamma^i_{00} = Y_i$, and $\Gamma^0_{ij} = X_{ij}$.

Calculations similar to the ones in lemma 4.2 show that the same lemma holds for the Einstein-Euler system as well. We assume this implicitly in what follows. We define $S_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{Ricc}_{\alpha\beta} - \rho_{\alpha\beta}$ so that the Einstein equations are $S_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

Explicit computation shows that $[\partial_0, \partial_i] = X_i^a \partial_a + Y_i \partial_0$, and $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = (2f_{ij} + X_{[i,j]})\partial_0 + (v_{ij}^p + \omega_{[i,j]}^p)\partial_p$ where $f = 0 = v$ initially. If we prove that $f = 0 = v$ is preserved, then indeed the components of the Levi-Civita connection are as described above. Computations similar to the ones leading to the system (4.3) prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 v_{ij}^p =& R_{0[i,j]p} + \omega_{k[j,}^p X_{i]k} + Y_p X_{[i,j]} \\ & + (v_{ij}^a + \omega_{[i,j]}^a) X_{ap} - (v_{a[j,}^p + \omega_{[a,[j,]}^p) X_{i]a} - \partial_{[i,} X_{j]p} \\ 2\partial_0 f_{ij} =& -R_{0[i,j]0} + 2X_{[i,j]} \frac{X_{kk}}{\mu'} - v_{ij}^a Y_a - 2f_{a[j} X_{i]a} - 2\widetilde{\nabla}_{[j,} Y_{i]} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

If indeed $v = f = 0$, and the first Bianchi identity holds, then both the equations in system (4.10) are satisfied provided $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \widetilde{\nabla}_{[i,} Y_{j]} + X_{[i,j]} \frac{X_{kk}}{\mu'} = 0$. Let $P_i = Y_i + \partial_i F$. We record the following calculations for further use

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{ij} =& \widetilde{\nabla}_{[i,} Y_{j]} + X_{[i,j]} \partial_0 F \\ =& \partial_{[i,} P_{j]} - 2f_{ij} \partial_0 F - v_{ij}^p \partial_p F - \omega_{[i,j]}^a P_a \\ \partial_{[k,} \mathcal{F}_{i]j} =& \tilde{L}_1 + \partial_j \mathcal{F}_{hk} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\tilde{L}_i$ denote lower order terms as before. They vanish when all the identities are satisfied. The evolution of $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' \partial_0 \mathcal{F}_{ij} =& \text{ lower order} + \partial_{[i}(\partial_0 P_{,j]}) \\ =& \text{ lower order} + \partial_{[i,} \partial_l X_{j]l} - \partial_{[i,} \partial_{j]} X_{ll} \\ =& \text{ lower order} + \partial_l \partial_{[i,} X_{j]l} \\ =& \tilde{L}_2 + \partial_l B_{ijl} \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

We now write the evolution equation of $R_{[\alpha,\beta,\mu]\nu}$ as before:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0 R_{[h,c,d]\alpha} =& -\nabla_h R_{[c,0,d]\alpha} - \nabla_d R_{[h,0,c]\alpha} - \nabla_c R_{[0,h,d]\alpha} + \nabla_{[h,} R_{d,c]0\alpha} \\ \nabla_0 R_{[0,h,c]\alpha} =& \nabla_l R_{[l,h,c]} - \nabla_{[l,} R_{h,c]l\alpha} + \nabla_c S_{h\alpha} - \nabla_h S_{c\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We wish to make sure that the Euler equation $Y_i = -\partial_i F$ is satisfied. The evolution of P_i is computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 P_i =& \frac{1}{\mu'} \left[\widetilde{\nabla}_j X_{ij} - \widetilde{\nabla}_i X_{kk} - Y^k (X_{ki} - X_{ik}) \right] - X_{il} P_l \\ =& \frac{1}{\mu'} \left[S_{i0} + (-\mathrm{Ricc}_{i0} + \widetilde{\nabla}_j X_{ij} - \widetilde{\nabla}_i X_{kk} - Y^k (X_{ki} - X_{ik})) \right] - X_{il} P_l \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now, we calculate the evolution of the (quasi-)constraints (remembering that $R_{h0i0} = \widehat{R_{h0i0}} - \mathcal{F}_{hi}$ where $\widehat{R_{h0i0}}$ is the "true" Riemann tensor). Let $B_{khj} = R_{kh0j} + \partial_k X_{hj} - \partial_h X_{kj} - X_{pj}(\omega_{kh}^p - \omega_{hk}^p) +$

$X_{kp}\omega^p_{hj} - X_{hp}\omega^p_{kj} - Y_j(X_{kh} - X_{hk})$ and $W_{hkij} = R_{hkij} - \tilde{R}_{hkij} - X_{ki}X_{jh} + X_{jk}X_{hi}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0 B_{khj} =& - X_{lj}B_{khl} + X_{kl}B_{hlj} + X_{hl}B_{lkj} + X_{pj}R_{[0,k,h]p} \\
& - Y_p W_{hkpj} - Y_j R_{0[k,h]0} + 2f_{kh}\partial_0 Y_j \\
& + v^p_{kh}\partial_p Y_j + Y_{[k,}\mathcal{F}_{h]j} - Y_j\mathcal{F}_{[k,h]} + \widetilde{\nabla}_{[k,}\mathcal{F}_{h]j} \\
=& \tilde{L}_3 + \partial_j \mathcal{F}_{hk} \\
\partial_0 W_{hkij} =& \tilde{L}_4 \\
\partial_0 \nabla_{[i,}R_{j,k]\mu\nu} =& \tilde{L}_5 \\
\partial_0(\nabla_h R_{h0\lambda\mu} - \nabla_\lambda \rho_{\mu 0} + \nabla_\mu \rho_{\lambda 0}) =& \tilde{L}_6
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Finally, we compute the evolution of $S_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_0 S_{ab} =& \nabla_a S_{0b} + \nabla_h R_{[0,a,h]b} - (\nabla_h R_{h0ab} - \nabla_a \rho_{b0} + \nabla_b \rho_{a0}) \\
\nabla_0 S_{0a} =& \nabla_k S_{ka} + 2(\mu + p)P_b \\
\nabla_0 S_{a0} =& \nabla_a S_{00} + \nabla_h (R_{0h0b} - R_{0b0h}) \\
\nabla_0 S_{00} =& \nabla_k S_{k0}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Notice that (4.10), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), and (4.16) form a FOSH. They have zero as their unique solution if the Bianchi identities, the constraints, and the Euler equations hold.

Remark 4.3. Notice that the preservation of gauge and the satisfaction of Einstein's equations maybe proven in the vacuum case in a manner similar to that of the fluid case. Actually, the vacuum case maybe deduced from the fluid case by keeping track of Newton's constant G in the equations and setting $G = 0$. However, we chose to do it otherwise in order to separate the preservation of the gauge and constraints from the Einstein equations themselves. This maybe useful in other contexts.

Proof of theorem 2.2: As before, the regularity does not hinder the manipulations made above. By arguments similar to those used in the proof of theorem 2.1, we obtain a solution of the Einstein-Euler system. This satisfies almost all the conditions required by theorem 2.2 except ostensibly, the regularity of g, because of the regularity of b_i that is lower than desired. This however, is an artifact of our chosen coordinate system (which depends on v which in turn has lower regularity than h_0). To see this, first we note that the g we obtained is in $C^0([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1}(U)) \cap C^2([0,T], H^{s-2}(U))$. However, its restriction to $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ is in H^{s+1}. Using the ADM decompositon of lapse and shift, one may choose the initial lapse to be 1, the initial shift vector to be 0 and their time derivative appropriately so as to satisfy the wave gauge condition initially. The energy-momentum tensor will be in $C^0([0,T], H^s(U)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{s-1}(U))$. The Einstein equations form a quasilinear hyperbolic system in the wave gauge. Hence we get a metric solving the full Einstein equations with the correct regularity. This coincides our original solution due to local geometric uniqueness [B]. □

References

[B] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *General relativity and the Einstein equations.* Oxford University Press (2008).

[CGP] P. Chrusciel, G. Galloway, D. Pollack, *Mathematical General Relativity: a sampler.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **47** (2010), no. 4, 567-638. arXiv: 1004.1016v2.

[D] M. M. Disconzi, *Remarks on the Einstein-Euler-Entropy system.* arXiv: 1301.5570 (2013).

[FM] A. E. Fischer, J.E. Marsden, *The Einstein evolution equations as a first-order quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic system, I.* Comm. Math. Phys. 28 (1972).

[Fri1] H. Friedrich, *Hyperbolic reductions for Einstein's equations.* Class. Quantum Grav. 13 1451 (1996).

[Fri2] H. Friedrich, *On the evolution equations for gravitating ideal fluid bodies in General Relativity.* Phys. Rev. D 57(1998): 2317-2322.

[FN] H. Friedrich, and G. Nagy, *The Initial Boundary Value Problem for Einstein's Vacuum Field Equation*. Commun. Math. Phys. 201, 619 655 (1999).

[FR] H. Friedrich, A. Rendall, *The Cauchy problem for the Einstein equations.* In: B. Schmidt (ed.): Einsteins field equations and their physical implications. Berlin, Springer, 2000.

[KN] S. Klainerman, F. Nicolo, *The Evolution Problem in General Relativity.* Birkhäuser (2003).

[M] A. Majda, *Compressible Fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables.* New York: Springer-Verlag, (1984).

[YB] J. W. York, Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *On H. Friedrich's formulation of the Einstein equations with fluid sources.* Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center 18 (2001): 321-235.

Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37240, USA
E-mail address: `marcelo.disconzi@vanderbilt.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA (moving to John Hopkins University in July 2013)
E-mail address: `Vamsi.Pingali@sunysb.edu`